Enhancing the Financial Sustainability of Social Franchise Networks: An analytical and participatory approach

Webinar presentation for the Social Franchising Community of Practice March 22, 2016

Nathan Blanchet, MA ScD Program Director, R4D











SIFPO2: Support for International Family Planning Organizations 2: Strengthening Networks





Two big objectives for social franchises and UHC

- Support clinical social franchises to become more financially sustainable, while improving (or doing no harm to) goals of access, quality, equity, and costeffectiveness.
- Help define new roles for CSFs at the interface between public financing and private delivery, in support of the Universal Health Coverage agenda.



A more financially sustainable franchise will:

- Use more diverse funds—donor, public, and private—in pursuit of quality, equity, additionality, cost-effectiveness, and health impact.
- **Look different** depending on the country and context.
- Constantly grow, adapt, and innovate in response to health needs, financing opportunities, and system constraints in a country.



When UHC Met CSF...





Note: This framework is a synthesis of prominent health systems and health financing frameworks, including those offered in Kutzin 2001, WHO 2000 and 2010, and Roberts et al. 2008, as well as R4D's own thinking and analysis.





Our approach, on paper

Platform Needs Assessment

HFN wants to evolve, diversify finances, serve more Q1 and Q2

Health Markets Analysis

Gov't wants to raise the # of functional PHCs to 10,000

Health Financing Analysis

New federal earmark for PHC; insurance schemes emerging

Collaborative Ideation and Assessment

Franchise public sector PHCs via PPPs, provide QA, training, staff



Our approach, in person

Induction



- Raise HF awareness
- Define and agree on platform's health financing challenges

Ideation



- Build on evidence from desk research & interviews
- Triangulate!
- Jointly develop HF options

Impact Analysis



- Review HF options for impact on social franchising goals
- Rank feasibility
- Play angel

investor

Landscape of health financing options



What tools to choose from? (And intermediary roles they imply)

(Integrated care, information systems, community mgmt.)

- Implement public/donor DSF & RBF schemes (e.g., Global Financing Facility)
- Leverage public sector commodities, training, and service contracts

Insurance	Impanelment, payment coordination, continuity of are)
-----------	---

- Help accredit, enroll, and support private providers with public and private insurance
- CSFs may even organize franchise providers into own CBHI or insurance networks

Cost Recovery (Improving quality continuously)

• Tiered franchise to target delivery of training, commodities, and QA services at cost



Contracting

Towards a new strategy for SFH's Healthy Family Network





 \sum

Five Options for SFH Nigeria

1. Caring business partner. Support to high quality/volume clinics at cost

2. Baby steps: Channel public FP/MCH commodities through private HFN maternities

3. Insured Maternities: Help maternities register with insurance, empanel clients, and manage capitation

4. Better first encounter: Link PPMVs with PHCs (North) and integrate into NHIS/CBHI (South)

5. Franchising-In PHC: New tier of full and/or partial public sector franchisees via PPPs

CSF Financing

Source	Mechanism		
Franchisee revenue from OOP and insurance payments	Fees/products		
Public, in-kind	Contracting/MO Us		
Insurance	Contracting for managing insurance		
PHC budget, Insurance	Contracting for managing insurance		
PHC budget	Contracting		



Option 5: Franchising Primary Healthcare Centers

Reach lower quintile clients by (fully or partially) franchising public sector PHC centers, improving access, service delivery, and quality.



Client

- Access to high quality & high-impact services
- Reduced OOP costs

Franchisee/PHC centers

- Increased capacity and quality of service delivery
- Training of HRH
- Coordination of services within the PHC center

Other stakeholders

 Public sector and donors will see better population health outcomes, high health impact, improved value for money, and reduced fragmentation.

Healthy Family Network

- Coordination of service delivery
- Improved sustainability and performance on SF goals and as intermediary



Impact Analysis: Support for Social Franchising Goals

 \bigcirc

Option	Quality	Health Impact	Equity	Additionalit y	Cost Effectiveness
1. Caring Business Partner	HIGH	LOW	LOW	LOW	HIGH
2. Baby steps:PublicCommodities forPrivate Maternities	NEUTRA L	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH
3. Insured Maternity: Linking Maternities to NHIS/HMOs	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	NEUTRAL
 4. Better first encounter: Leverage PPMVs through partnerships, NHIS/CB 	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	LOW to HIGH
5. Franchising-In PH psi	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	NEUTRAL



- Social franchises genuinely want to do more to connect to public financing sources for sustainability and equity purposes
- Social franchises could become effective intermediary organizations between public financing and private providers
- Social franchises and SIFPO2/R4D will need to make dedicated deep dives to turn strategies into actions
- Combination of external analysis and internal participation works



Thank You

Nathan Blanchet Program Director nblanchet@r4d.org Adeel Ishtiaq Program Officer aishtiaq@r4d.org Aparna Kamath Sr. Program Associate akamath@r4d.org Cynthia Eldridge Consultant cynthia@cynbe.com

Pierre Moon Director SIFPO2 PSI







Additional example: PSI Tanzania and the NHIS Working with Government Backed Insurance Schemes

Option 1: Aid in the registration of franchisees in NHIF and SHIB

PSI can contract with NHIF and/or SHIB to integrate all or a subset of franchisees in health insurance. A PSI/APHFTA partnership would be able to offer support in demystifying NHIF and SHIB, evaluating business potential, accessing loans (if necessary), and supporting applications.

Value to PSI as Franchisor: PSI has strengths in provider behavior change, and can use that to explain the advantages of insurance. PSI can charge franchisees for support provided to accredit and include them in contract.

Value to Franchisee: Access to greater number of clients through risk pools that promote equity and access, leading to more stable reimbursement for services.





Additional example: A public-private partnership between PACE Uganda and the Kampala Capital City Authority

PACE would develop a public private partnership with Kampala Capital City Authority for the delivery of PHC and MCH services through PACE facilities.



Client Sara:

• Decrease OOP costs for high health impact services.

PACE Franchisor:

• Strong value proposition to providers and government. If management fees are included, PACE can recoup costs.

PACE Franchisee:

- Increase income from sustainable source.
- Experience working with KCCA will build trust and create more opportunities in the future.

Government:

- For KCCA: Effectively addresses health demand with quality services.
- For MOH: Sets an example of an effective PPPH with PFP in service delivery. Complementary to future NHIS schemes.

